

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
2 NAVY ANNEX
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

CRS

Docket No: 5495-00 26 January 2001



Dear

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 18 January 2001. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Naval Reserve on 30 May 1989 at age 18. You reported to active duty on 19 June 1989. The record reflects that you received two nonjudicial punishments. The offenses included an unauthorized absence of 65 days, missing movement, and larceny. On 25 August 1992 you were honorably separated in pay grade E-2 and transferred to the Naval Reserve. At that time you were assigned a reenlistment code of RE-4.

The Board concluded that the two disciplinary actions were sufficient to support the assignment of the RE-4 reenlistment code. Additionally, individuals separated in pay grade E-2 normally must be assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code. The Board thus concluded that there is no error or injustice in your reenlistment code.

Concerning your request for corrections to your DD Form 214, you should present such requests and evidence to the National Personnel Records Center, 9700 Page Boulevard, St. Louis,

Missouri 63132.

Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER Executive Director